https://jaida.ikcu.edu.tr

Turkish Character Usage in Text Classification

Ali Aycan KOLUKISA¹

¹ Graduate of Izmir Katip Celebi University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Software Engineering, Turkey

MSc student of Dokuz Eylul University, Institute of Social Sciences, Management Information Systems, Turkey

Abstract

This study is prepared to examine the effects of Turkish character usage on text data by using multiple classifiers. Regression Classifiers, SVM, NB-Classifiers, and ANN are frequently used in supervised learning methods, especially in classification problems. Regression classifiers generally come in two types: as Linear and Logistic. There are also more than one type of Naive Bayes classifier. In our study, after mentioning the properties of Linear Regression and Logistic Regression classifiers in general terms, why Logistic Regression is much more suitable for this study is explained. Then, with the usage of "Logistic Regression", "LinearSVC", "MultinomialNB", "ComplementNB", "BernoulliNB" and "Perceptron" classifiers, the analyzing part starts. Our datasets consist of abstracts-parts from 64 Turkish articles, which have 4 different classes as Physical Sciences, Social Sciences, Educational Sciences, and Economics Administrative Sciences. The data files are all in CSV file format, however, two different data files were prepared. One with original Turkish characters, and the other with its English equivalent formation targeting the Turkish characters "Ç, ç, Ö, ö, Ü, ü, Ş, ş, İ, 1, ğ". In its English-like equivalent file, these were replaced with "C, c, O, o, U, u, S, s, I, i, g" respectively.

Keywords: Accuracy rate; bag of words; English characters; logistic regression; Turkish characters.

1. Introduction

As it is known, Regression Classifiers, SVM, NB-Classifiers, and ANN are frequently used in supervised learning methods, especially in classification problems. Regression classifiers generally come in two types as Linear and Logistic. It is possible to mention that these two classifiers have some positive and negative aspects according to their characteristics. It is also seen that there are more than one type of Naive Bayes classifier. In our study, firstly, after mentioning the properties of Linear Regression and Logistic Regression classifiers in general terms, it is explained why the Logistic Regression classifier is much more suitable for this study. Afterward, the analyzing part takes place with the usage of Logistic Regression, "LinearSVC", "MultinomialNB", "ComplementNB", "BernoulliNB" classifiers, and "Perceptron" classifiers.

Our datasets consist of abstracts-parts from 64 Turkish articles, which have 4 different class-labels such as Physical Sciences (= FEN), Social Sciences (= Sosyal), Educational Sciences (= Egitim), and Economics and Administrative Sciences (= IIBF). In collecting the data, 4 different journals have been used for each class label and 4 articles have been taken from each journal. The journal names used in this study will be given at the end of this paper. The data files have been prepared in CSV file format. And we have prepared two different types of data files. One with the original Turkish characters, and the other one with its English equivalent formation. In the second one, we have changed the original characters of the Turkish language "Ç, ç, Ö, ö, Ü, ü, Ş, ş, İ, ı, ğ" into its English-like equivalents "C, c, O, o, U, u, S, s, I, i, g" respectively. Hereby, two different-named data files, which can be regarded the same in terms of their contents but differ in the use of Turkish characters, have been made ready for accuracy analysis by the above-mentioned classifiers.

2. Classifiers Used for Text Classification

The main classifier supposed to be used in this study is Logistic Regression. However, also other classifiers are added to the study to be able to see how the other classifiers act with the same datasets.

2.1. Logistic Regression Classifier

Regression analysis is an analysis method used to examine the effect or effects of one or more independent variables on a dependent variable [1]. On the other hand, when we look at the working principles of Regression classifiers, it is seen that generally two types of results can be obtained depending on more than one variable. These results, which are generally confused as 0 - 1, are encountered especially in linear type regression classifiers. However, this is a disadvantage of linear regression classifiers as it is possible for output categories to take values between 0 and 1, such as 0.8 or 0.4. It is generally seen that these problems are overcome by setting the threshold

value. On the other hand, if the desired results due to more than one variable are desired to be higher than 0 and 1, logistic regression is preferred because linear regression is seen to be insufficient.

At first glance, it can be assumed that logistic regression classifiers operate like linear regression classifiers. However, it appears that there are subtypes of logistic regression classifiers that can adapt to more than one output. These are the Binary Logistic Regression, Multinomial Logistic Regression, and Ordinal Logistic Regression classifiers [2]. In this way, it can give more stable results than Linear Regression.

On the other hand, when compared with the Linear Regression Classifier, there are differences in terms of Cost Function. While in Linear Regression, algorithms such as Mean Square Error, Mean Absolute Error, and Root Mean Square Error are used as cost functions, these algorithms cause various irregularities when applied in Logistic Regression [3]. For this reason, Softmax Function, which can sometimes be named as Logistics Cost Function, is generally used in Logistic Regression. On the other hand, it can be seen that due to the existing similarities of the Softmax function, it is also considered as the general form of the sigmoid function used in probability calculations on binary variables [4]. However, since the Softmax Function, which is mostly used in multiple classification problems, is a non-linear classifier [5], it takes the input data in the layer preceding it and determines which class these inputs are closer to, unlike linear regression classifiers that can distinguish with a single line, by making probability calculations [6].

Therefore, considering the above reasons, it would be appropriate to say that it would be more appropriate to prefer Logistic Regression since there are 4 different class labels of the datasets used in the study.

2.2. Other Classifiers

It is seen that statistical methods such as Regression, Logistic Regression, Time Series Analysis, and Bayesian approaches are generally used in classification problems [7]. In addition to the Logistic Regression classifier, "LinearSVC", "MultinomialNB", "ComplementNB", "BernoulliNB", and Perceptron classifiers are also used to be able to see how other classifiers act with the same datasets.

3. Datasets

The datasets in this study were generally prepared using academic journals in Turkish that have open access on the DergiPark¹ website. A ready-to-use dataset was not employed. A total of 64 articles were used. These articles have 4 different class tags. These are in the form of Science (FEN), Social Sciences (Sosyal), Educational Sciences (Egitim), and Economic and Administrative Sciences (IIBF), respectively, and articles in field journals have been used. 4 different journals were used for each field, and 4 articles(abstract parts only) were taken from each journal. In order to classify the articles, the abstract parts were taken and recorded in the data file. Although each article abstract consists of many sentences, it constitutes only 1 sample of data in the study. Therefore, there are 64 article abstracts belonging to 4 different classes in total, and there are 16 article abstracts in each class, although their lengths differ. These datasets were saved in the form of a CSV file with the name "Makale4x16(tr)" for original Turkish characters. And then in the same file, the Turkish specific characters "Ç, ç, Ö, ö, Ü, ü, Ş, ş, İ, ı, ğ" were determined and changed into their English equivalents "C, c, O, o, U, u, S, s, I, i, g" and saved as a different CSV file named as "Makale4x16". Therefore, 2 datasets consisting of 16x4 = 64 article abstracts for each, whose contents and word numbers and sequences are exactly the same, but differ only in terms of the use of Turkish characters, were made ready for analysis. The general distribution of these datasets used in the study is as follows.



Figure 1. English-equivalent Formation



Figure 2. Original Turkish Characters

¹ https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/

As it is understood from Figures 1 and 2, the datasets have exactly the same qualification, except the Turkish character usage in the text parts of them.

4. Tools and Environment

To be able to analyze the datasets, PYTHON codes are preferred. The operating system environment is 64-bit Windows 8.1 with 10 GB of RAM - Intel Celeron 2957U@1.4 GHz. In order to run the PYTHON codes, the SPYDER interface (Figure 4) that comes with ANACONDA is preferred.

The libraries used in the application such as Pandas, Scikit-learn, Seaborn, etc. were loaded first into SPYDER via the ANACONDA command line (CMD) before the operation. The version information of SPYDER is 4.1.4 and PYTHON version used in this study is 3.8.3 (64-bit) as seen. And to be able to analyze the files, two different CSV files were loaded by using the pandas library command, as pd.read_csv('Makale4x16(tr).csv') and pd.read_csv('Makale4x16.csv').



Figure 3. Spyder Environment

5. Operation and Analysis

In our application, the model preparation was done first. The necessary libraries were imported and included in the application, then the modeling of Logistic Regression and other classifiers were created with Python codes. First, "Makale4x16.csv" file has been prepared to be subjected to Logistic Regression analysis. Then, the models of "LinearSVC", "MultinomialNB", "ComplementNB", "BernoulliNB" and "Perceptron" classifiers were also created and added with Python codes. However, since it is not possible for the machine to directly read the string type (textual) data, first of all, this data is converted to numerical form. For this, two methods were used. In the Logistic Regression model, these textual data were converted into numerical form by using TfidfVectorizer. For the other classifiers, the Bag of Words (BOW) model was prepared by using the CountVectorizer. Thus all the textual data was transformed into numerical data so that the machine can understand. After our models and codes were made ready for all the classifiers, the analysis phase started. First, the dataset with English characters was analyzed (Figure 4).

In [1]: runfile(In [2]: runfile(
Makale4x16.py')			
<class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""></class>	<class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""></class>		
RangeIndex: 64 entries, 0 to 63	RangeIndex: 64 entries, 0 to 63		
Data columns (total 2 columns):	Data columns (total 2 columns):		
# Column Non-Null Count Dtype	# Column Non-Null Count Dtype		
0 TEXTLER 64 non-null object 1 SINIFLAR 64 non-null object dtypes: object(2) memory usage: 1.1+ KB	0 TEXTLER 64 non-null object 1 SINIFLAR 64 non-null object dtypes: object(2) memory usage: 1.1+ KB		
LogisticRegression() Doğruluğu : % 43.75LogisticRegression() Doğruluğu : % 50.0LinearSVC()Doğruluk Oranı : % 75.0LinearSVC()Doğruluk Oranı : % 75.0MultinomialNB() Doğruluk Oranı : % 81.25MultinomialNB() Doğruluk Oranı : % 87.5MultinomialNB() Doğruluk Oranı : % 87.5ComplementNB()Doğruluk Oranı : % 37.5ComplementNB()Doğruluk Oranı : % 37.5BernoulliNB()Doğruluk Oranı : % 37.5Perceptron()Doğruluk Oranı : % 37.5			

Figure 4. Results of English-equivalent Formation

Figure 5. Results of Original Turkish Characters

As seen in Figure 4, the accuracy rate of Logistic Regression was 43.75%, Bernoulli Naive Bayes was 37.5% and Perceptron was 37.5%, and as understood, the accuracy rates of these three classifiers were generally below 50%. On the other hand, Linear Support Vector Machine achieved an accuracy rate of 75%, Multinomial Naive Bayes was 81.25%, and Complement Naive Bayes was 87.5%, achieving an overall success rate of 75% and above. Now, with the above codes, let's replace only the part of the file to be analyzed with "Makale4x16 (tr).csv". Here, It is better to mention again that no changes have been made to the codes and they are the same as in the previous section.

This time, "Makale4x16(tr).csv" file - with Turkish original characters, was analyzed and the following results were obtained. As it is seen from the results at Figure 5, although the basic contents of our two files are the same, the detail has increased with the use of Turkish characters, and the machine classifiers have given a certain reaction to this. This time, the accuracy rate of Perceptron and Logistic Regression classifiers increased within the Turkish original character dataset file analysis and reached to 50%. However, "MultinomialNB" decreased to 75%. There has been no change in the accuracy rates of "LinearSVC", "ComplementNB" and "BernoulliNB" classifiers.

So, what does this situation tell us? Based on the above results, the use of Turkish characters increases the intext details to a certain extent, and accordingly, Perceptron, which is the most basic and simple form of deep learning algorithms, can draw new teachings from this change, and it is not only limited to Perceptron, it can be said that it also makes sense for the Logistic Regression classifier.

6. Conclusion

In this study, Turkish article abstracts with the same content were prepared in 2 different CSV files with Turkish and English characters, and the effects of using Turkish characters on machine learning were examined. Although the contents of both files are the same, the results show that Perceptron and Logistic Regression classifiers, which are frequently used in deep learning, have a positive response to the Turkish characters, while Multinomial Naive Bayes has a negative response under the same conditions. On the other hand, "LinearSVC", "ComplementNB" and "BernoulliNB" classifiers show no reaction to the use of Turkish characters. The results are summarized in Figures 6 and 7.

In [3]: runfile	('	/		
	_Makale4	x16.py')		
<class 'pandas.<="" td=""><td>core.frame.Data</td><td>Frame'></td></class>	core.frame.Data	Frame'>		
RangeIndex: 64	entries, 0 to 6	3		
Data columns (to	otal 2 columns)	:		
# Column I	Non-Null Count	Dtype		
0 TEXTLER	64 non-null	object		
1 SINIFLAR	64 non-null	object		
dtypes: object(2	2)			
memory usage: 1.1+ KB				
LogisticPagnass	ion() Doğruluğu	· % 12 75		
Logistickegress.	Dot Dogratuga	. // 45.75		
LinearSVC()	Dogruluk Urani	: % /5.0		
MultinomialNB()	Doğruluk Oranı	: % 81.25		
ComplementNB()	Doğruluk Oranı	: % 87.5		
<pre>BernoulliNB()</pre>	Doğruluk Oranı	: % 37.5		
Perceptron()	Doğruluk Oranı	: % 37.5		

Figure 6. Results of English-equivalent Formation

Figure 7. Results of Original Turkish Characters

Declaration of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- S. Alp and E. Öz, Makine Öğrenmesinde Sınıflandırma Yöntemleri ve R Uygulamaları. Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık, 2019. [1]
- H.B. Akın and E. Şentürk, "Bireylerin Mutluluk Düzeylerinin Ordinal Lojistik Regresyon Analizi ile İncelenmesi", Öneri Dergisi, [2] vol. 10, no. 37, 183-193, 2012.
- [3] S. Swaminatah, "Logistic Regression- Detailed Owerview. Towards Data Science." towardsdatascience.com, 2018 [Online]. Available: https://towardsdatascience.com/logistic-regression-detailed-overview-46c4da4303bc, 2018. [Accessed: Jan. 15, 2021]
- Ö. Şahin, "iOS platformunda görme engelliler için TL tanıma uygulaması" Yüksek Lisans Tezi, T.C. Selçuk Üniversitesi, Fen [4] Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya, 73, 2017.
- Aleksey, softmax." В. "Linear Kaggle.com, 2018 [5] and non-linear activation. and [Online]. Available:https://www.kaggle.com/residentmario/linear-and-non-linear-activation-and-softmax, 2018. [Accessed: Jan. 13, 20201]
- [6] F. Doğan and İ. Türkoğlu, "Derin Öğrenme Modelleri ve Uygulama Alanlarına İlişkin Bir Derleme", Dicle Üniversitesi Mühendislik Fakültesi DÜMF Dergisi, vol. 10, no. 2, 409-445, 2019.
- G. Silahtaroğlu, Veri Madenciliği Yöntemleri. Papatya Yayıncılık, İstanbul, 2013. [7]

APPENDIX (parts of the datasets used)

🔚 Makale4x16(tr).csv 🖾

1 :	TEXTLER, SINIFLAR	
2	"Galaksiler, kütle çekim kuvvetiyle bir arada bulunan yıldızlar, gaz, toz ve karanlık maddeden meydana gelen sistemlerdir. Evrende milyarlarca galaksi bulunmaktadır. Her bir	
2	galaksinin tek tek incelenmesinin maliyeti yüksek olduğundan galaksi sınıflandırması astronomik veri analizinde önemli bir yer tutmaktadır. Galaksiler morfolojilerine ve spektral	
2	özelliklerine göre sınıflandırılmaktadır. Veri seti içindeki gizli örüntüyü ortaya çıkarmayı amaçlayan makine öğrenme yöntemleri mevcut veriyi analiz ederek doğal grupları henüz	
1	tespit edilmemiş olan galaksilerin hangi gruba ait olduğunu tahmin etmek amacıyla kullanılabilir. Bu da gerek araştırmacılara gerekse astronomlara zaman ve maliyet açısından kazanç	. 8
14	sağlayacaktır. Bu çalışma da Shapley Konsantrasyon bölgesindeki 4215 galaksi, 5 değişken (enlem, boylam, parlaklık, hız ve hızdaki sapma) dikkate alınarak sınıflandırılmıştır. IDL	
1	programlama ile doğal grupları tespit edilen galaksiler Weka programı ile makine öğrenme algoritmaları kullanılarak sınıflandırılmıştır. Bayes Sınıflandırıcı yöntemlerinden Naive	
1	Bayes ve Bayes net, Karar Ağaçları yöntemlerinden J48, LMT ve Random Forest algoritmaları, Yapay Sinir Ağlarından Çok Katmanlı Algılayıcılar ve Destek Vektör sınıflandırıcı	
	yöntemleri kullanılmıştır. Elde edilen sınıflandırma sonuçları doğal gruplarla karşılaştırılmış ve yöntemlerin tahmin performansları değerlendirilmiştir.", Fen	
3	"Bu çalışma, Kocaeli il sınırları içinde yer alan Yuvacık Baraj Gölü'nün yüzey suyu kalitesini ve kirlilik problemlerini ortaya koymak üzere bazı fiziko-kimyasal özelliklerini	
10	incelemek ve trofik durumunun belirlenmesi amacıyla yapılmıştır. 5 farklı istasyondan farklı derinliklerde iki dönem (Eylül 2016 ve Mayıs 2017) hamsu numuneleri alınmıştır.	
34	Araștırma sonucunda Yuvacık Baraj Gölü'nün su kalite parametrelerinin ortalama değerlerinin kalite kriterlerine göre su kalite sınıfı I (yüksek kalite, çok iyi)- II (az kirlenmiş,	
	iyi) aralığında olduğu tespit edilmiştir. Ötrofikasyon kriterlerine göre gölün trofik düzeyinin toplam azot (IN) ve toplam fosfor (TP) konsantrasyonu açısından mezotrofik,	
	klorofil-a açısından oligotrofik, ışık geçirgenliği açısından ise dönemsel olarak mezotrofik seviyede olduğunu göstermiştir. Ortalama Trofik durum indeks (TSI) değeri 44.1 olarak	
1	hesaplanmış ve gölün trofik seviyesinin mezotrofik olduğu belirlenmiştir.", Fen	

- ormal text file length : 114.676 lines : 73 Ln:1 Col:1 Sel:0|0 Windows (CR LF) UTF-8 INS

KOLUKISA / JAIDA vol (2021) 53-58

Hakale 4x16 csv 🔀

- Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
 Description
- Normal text file INS